

THE COLLAPSE OF THE EURO ZONE AS OPPORTUNITY

Dear shareholders,

The fund's NAV rose by 9.1% in the final quarter of 2011. For the year as a whole, it declined by 13.7%. This marks our second negative result in the eight completed calendar years of the fund's history. No one is happy with declines, but we must expect them sometimes. Any idea that all years will end on the upside would be unrealistic, and it is not even our goal. This would be theoretically possible, but an effort to attain a positive result each year would have a significant negative effect on total returns. Therefore, we prefer to pursue long-term objectives even at the cost of short-term decreases.

A fund's returns should be evaluated over the entire course of the market cycle, as it is easy to compose a portfolio that grows faster than the market during bull market periods but also that drops more sharply in bear market periods. Nor is it difficult to assemble a portfolio which drops less when the market goes down but that also grows more slowly in a rising market. Such portfolios say little about the skills of a portfolio manager. Rather, they indicate the amount of risk that is taken on.

Comparing a fund's returns with those of the market across the entire market cycle eliminates the influence of the portfolio's basic composition and reveals the result of the portfolio manager's work. The current market cycle began at the turn of 2008 and 2009, when markets generally reached their low point and we at the same time adjusted the fund's investment strategy to what it is today. The years 2009 and 2010 comprise the growth phase of the cycle, while 2011 represents the declining phase. We cannot yet say whether the entire cycle has reached its end. Only time will tell whether early October 2011, a time of great panic and low prices, will mark a return to growth and the start of another cycle.

The results of the Vltava Fund for this three-year market cycle are as follow:

Year	Return
2009	201.8%
2010	21.4%
2011	-13.7%

The total return was 216%. Through those three years, world equity markets rose by 22% as measured by the MSCI World Equity index.

By such comparison, our results do not look bad at all; and they could have been even better had we not made two mistakes in 2011. A tennis player would call these unforced errors. We should have sold two titles earlier. The first is Chaoda. We had had growing concerns for some time about the fairness of that company's management, but we had been hesitant to sell the shares for the price at which they were trading at the time, and we were waiting for a better opportunity. This delay unnecessarily cost us money. The lesson we take from this is that once a company's managers appear not to be working primarily for the shareholders but rather to enrich themselves at the shareholders' expense, there is no advantage in waiting for a better opportunity to sell. The second error concerns the shares of the Finnish Talvivaara. During the course of the year, the company's fundamental value began to worsen due to shutdowns in mining operations. We saw it, but unfortunately our reaction came too late. We had not been able to get over a psychological barrier in our own heads quickly enough. Had it not been for these two errors, our total return for last year could have approached zero. Although we scarcely anticipate ever reaching a state where we will be making no mistakes, we do try to chronicle and describe them so that we make as few of them as possible in future.

The rest of the titles in the portfolio fared relatively well. The seemingly boring "blue chips" from developed markets did best. We drew attention

Letter to Shareholders



to the anomaly in their valuations in our last quarterly comments. This still holds true in many cases, and during the greatest market slump at the turn of summer and autumn we increased our positions in those even more.

What can we expect this year?

Last year's market events were dominated by escalating problems of the euro zone, and, as not much has been resolved to date, that probably will be true again this year. The key to the solution is in the politicians' hands, and their behaviour is difficult to predict. It might be easier, therefore, to imagine the state towards which the euro zone is headed, as this is being driven by market forces that cannot be overcome. at the end of the decade, the euro zone could look like the following:

- 1. The core problem of the countries in the so-called olive belt (i.e. Greece, Italy, Spain and Portugal) relates to their payments balances. If this is to be solved, it is necessary for these countries' effective real exchange rates to drop significantly in comparison to the world around them. There are three ways to achieve that. The first is for inflation to be much higher in the surrounding countries than in those of the olive belt. So far, however, just the opposite is true. The second would be for wages and prices to decrease significantly in the countries of the olive belt. This is very difficult to achieve in practice. Therefore, the third possibility is the most probable, which is for them to introduce their own currencies and then to devaluate all of these. The state debt will be partially written off and private debt will be reduced by its denomination. Greece will probably be the first in line.
- In the rest of the euro zone, fiscal union will occur in larger measure, with there being a unified budget and government.
- 3. The European Central Bank will have the authority to print money and will be owned either by the central government or by the government of one of the euro zone countries. In choosing between a strict monetary policy and preserving the euro, they will choose the second.

4. The individual members of the euro zone will either go bankrupt or will have to subject themselves to central directives. They say capitalism without bankruptcy is like Christianity without hell. States must operate with the knowledge that the possibility of bankruptcy does exist, and investors must lend to them under conditions that reflect this risk.

This arrangement will be much more stable and much less costly in the long run than to preserve today's status quo. As to by what means and how quickly we will reach that place, this scarcely can be predicted. Perhaps today's leaders of the euro zone countries will need first to be replaced through democratic processes. The current leaders are tied too closely with constructing the EU and the common currency.

It is important for us as investors to recognize that this development will bring the downfall of many companies – but also many winners. In connection with market volatility, it will continue to bring many excellent investment opportunities. Even though Europe is not very competitive as a whole, a number of European companies are very much so. One example to demonstrate the case as a whole is that of Sanofi Aventis, a global pharmaceutical company based in France and comprising one of the largest positions in our portfolio. The French market dropped by 17% last year, and, due to their exposures to southern Europe, the French banks are among the most endangered. Despite all that, the share price for Sanofi Aventis increased by 18% (plus a 5% dividend). Most of the company's sales come from areas not only outside of France but entirely outside of Europe. The problems of the euro zone affect it only marginally. Indeed, a weakening euro is even a great advantage to the company. There are many cases of this sort, and our task is to find the best of these. It is worth noting here that we are not regionally limited in our investments. We have invested 31% of the portfolio in Europe but only 12% in the euro zone countries (and in the "better" part at that). If we would so choose, we could avoid Europe altogether, but, because there are a number of very much undervalued and high-quality shares, there is no need to do so.



Selection of individual titles is the key to achieving good returns. While this may seem obvious, the opinion has recently become popular that individual share-picking is rather pointless due to the historically high correlation between the individual markets and shares. This view is rather misguided, but it plays into our hand. High correlation between shares does not mean that all shares will have the same or even similar returns. Correlation is important for speculators, whose speculative (you cannot even say investment) horizons can be counted in hours or days. Correlation means nothing for long-term investors. The fact that fewer and fewer investors seek to find the best individual opportunities creates an ideal investment environment for us. We try to make the most of it.

Recent changes in the portfolio

We have sold three positions: the aforementioned Talvivaara with a total return of -30%, the American company Baxter International with a total return of 20%, as well as Universal Robina, which is one of our oldest investments and our most profitable ever. Robina is an excellently managed, rapidly growing company. It has a dominant market share on its domestic Filipino market and is quickly expanding abroad. Why did we sell it then? the price of the shares had gone too high for us to not sell them, as it was even higher than what we estimate to be the company's value. We had first bought Robina's shares even before the 2008 crisis at a price of 13–15 pesos. Then we clenched our teeth when the shares temporarily dropped to 5 pesos at the turn of 2008 and 2009, even though the company fared very well even in the global crisis. In the end, we were rewarded for our patience and we sold Robina at a price of 50-52 pesos this November. Even on declining markets, the shares reached their historic maximum (wonder what was their correlation to markets?) and our total return for the time we held them was 322%.

Late in the year, we opened a new position in Britain. We rather like the UK market. It is outside the euro zone and there are several high-quality, undervalued and high-dividend companies. In terms of size in our portfolio, Britain is second only to the United States. The five British titles represent approximately 19% of our portfolio.

Price vs. value

Price and value is a favourite topic of ours. Although the price of our portfolio (expressed by its NAV) is lower than a year ago, its value is recognizably higher. The basis of our investing consists in seeking shares which are selling for significantly lower prices than are their fundamental values and on the assumption that prices will in time approach the values. We have a view as to the value for every individual share in the portfolio, and therefore we can picture the value of the portfolio as a whole. It is higher than a year ago. Most of the shares in the portfolio have risen in value (due to their growing profits and dividends). Moreover, we swapped certain expensive shares (such as the Robina already mentioned) for others with a more favourable ratio of price to value. The reinvestment of dividends received also played its part. Therefore, all our activities focus on increasing portfolio value. We can illustrate this by the following example.

Let us presume there is one share A and one share B in our portfolio. Each costs 100. The price of the portfolio is therefore 200. Let us further presume that the shares each have a fundamental value of 150. Therefore the portfolio's value is 300.

Should the price of share A increase to 150 and the price of share B drop to 50, the price of the portfolio will remain unchanged at 200. As share A has reached the level of its value, it is pointless to keep it and so we sell it for 150. For the cash obtained we buy 3 shares of B for 50 each. Our portfolio now consists of 4 shares of B with a total price of 200. The price of the portfolio has therefore not changed. What has changed, however, is the value of the portfolio: the 4 shares of B have a value of 600. Therefore, the portfolio's value has doubled. You cannot see this by looking at the surface, as it were, because its price does not yet reflect the underlying value.

This is of course a simplified example, as generally such things are not so black and white, but it demonstrates our way of thinking about creating value for the shareholders and it also shows the potential disparity between price and value.



One of the Benjamin Graham's greatest insights says: "In the short run, the market is a voting machine but in the long run it is a weighing machine."

In the long term, therefore, the market will weigh the priced of shares according to their fundamental values. That has always been the case, and it always will be. What to do with money?

What to do with money?

There are many possibilities for how to preserve and grow one's money: shares (both domestic and foreign), bonds, bank deposits, real estate, commodities, art, gold...
Just now, people favour bonds, deposits and gold while shares have become rather unpopular. This is a good thing for us, because only unpopular assets can be acquired at truly inexpensive prices.

Taking into consideration both risks and expected returns, we think money is best invested into very high-quality, professionally managed companies which are profitable, financially strong and stable and whose strength and stability are often much greater than that of the countries wherein they reside. Companies that do not cause one to worry every day about how they are being operated. Companies available at prices which implicitly indicate double-digit annual returns in the years to come. The portfolio of Vltava Fund is composed of such companies.

On behalf of the fund's partners, I wish you a happy and successful year 2012.

Daniel Gladiš, 2 January 2012

The information contained in this letter to shareholders may include statements that, to the extent they are not recitations of historical fact, constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of applicable foreign securities legislation. Forward-looking statements may include financial and other projections, as well as statements regarding our future plans, objectives or financial performance, or the estimates underlying any of the foregoing. Any such forward-looking statements are based on assumptions and analyses made by the fund in light of its experience and perception of historical trends, current conditions and expected future developments, as well as other factors we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. However, whether actual results and developments will conform to our expectations and predictions is subject to a number of risks, assumptions and uncertainties. In evaluating forward-looking statements, readers should specifically consider the various factors which could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those contained in such forward-looking statements. Unless otherwise required by applicable securities laws, we do not intend, nor do we undertake any obligation, to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect subsequent information, events, results or circumstances or otherwise.

This letter to shareholders does not constitute or form part of, and should not be construed as, any offer for sale or subscription of, or any invitation to offer to buy or subscribe for, the securities of the fund.

Before subscribing, prospective investors are urged to seek independent professional advice as regards both Maltese and any foreign legislation applicable to the acquisition, holding and repurchase of shares in the fund as well as payments to the shareholders.

The shares of the fund have not been and will not be registered under the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "1933 Act") or under any state securities law. The fund is not a registered investment company under the United States Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act").

The shares in the fund shall not be offered to investors in the Czech Republic on the basis of a public offer (veřejná nabídka) as defined in Section 34 (1) of Act No. 256/2004 Coll., on Capital Market Undertakings.

Historical performance over any particular period will not necessarily be indicative of the results that may be expected in future periods.

© Copyright 2011 by Vltava Fund SICAV, Plc a www.vltavafund.com – All rights reserved. This document cannot be used in any publication, and it may not be disseminated, distributed or copied without prior written consent from Vltava Fund SICAV, Plc.